







Biggest pitfalls

- Clone documentation–
 - Exam looks identical to the previous visit for a patients coming for injections
- 1. Is the exam, imaging, and assessment/plan consistent?
 - For example, if ERM is worsening in A/P, is this consistent in the exam and imaging sections?

Biggest pitfalls

- Incorrect documentation
 - Patient had cataract surgery done appropriately documented in A/P, however the exam says "2+ NS"

Biggest pitfalls

- Chief complaint is not addressed in exam or A/P
 - "Patient complains of irritation and tearing"
 - Per note, this complaint was not addressed during the visit

Biggest pitfalls

- Interpretations of imaging
- Writing 'positive,' 'normal,' or 'no change' is unacceptable

Biggest pitfalls

- Use of modifiers
 - If you exclude the decision to inject, would your note warrant billing an exam? If so, then a modifier 25 with the exam is appropriate

How to avoid pitfalls

Document during
or immediately
after the visit

Be careful when
copying forward

Be specific when
talking to scribes

"Please add punctate
epithelial erosions to the
exam, dry eyes to the
assessment, and use
artificial tears in the plan"

How to avoid pitfalls

- Correct mistakes made by scribes immediately
- Even with a great office manager, communication directly between the physician and scribe is critical
- Create an environment in which asking questions (at the appropriate time) is welcome

How to avoid pitfalls

- Conduct audits
 - At Texas Retina Associates, we obtain an annual chart audit along with physician feedback
 - Prepares you if you do get audited
 - Impacts billing error and omissions insurance (renewal and premium)

Thank you

Jodi Creighton and Jeff Brochette


